Current Trends in Medicine and Clinical Research
ISSN: 3108-1223

Review Article **Open Access**

Pharmacology Experiment Softwares: The Effective Tools for Ethical and Interactive Pharmacology Education

Gauranga Trivedi[1]

[1] Associate Professor, Vidyapeeth Institute of Pharmacy, Dabok, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author: Gauranga Trivedi | Email: drgaurangatrivedi@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article type:
Review Article

Authors:
Gauranga Trivedi

Corresponding author:
Gauranga Trivedi

Email:
drgaurangatrivedi@gmail.com

Keywords:
Computer-assisted learning, simulation software, animal alternatives, pharmacology education, ethical education

ABSTRACT

Pharmacology education traditionally relies on animal experimentation to teach fundamental concepts of drug action, dose-response relationships, and pharmacokinetics. However, ethical concerns, regulatory restrictions, and logistical constraints have driven a paradigm shift toward alternative teaching methodologies. Pharmacology Experiment softwares has emerged as a powerful educational tool that simulates animal experiments, pharmacokinetic modeling, and pharmacodynamic responses, offering an ethical, cost-effective, and interactive learning environment. This review examines the development, applications, and effectiveness of pharmacology experiments software in modern pharmacology education. The software platforms and various computer-assisted learning tools provide students with realistic experimental experiences while eliminating animal suffering. Studies demonstrate that computer simulations enhance student engagement, improve conceptual understanding, and develop critical thinking skills without compromising educational outcomes. This review synthesizes current evidence supporting integrating pharmacology experiment software into curricula, discusses implementation challenges, and explores future directions in digital pharmacology education.

Keywords: Computer-assisted learning, simulation software, animal alternatives, pharmacology education, ethical education

INTRODUCTION

Pharmacology, the study of drugs and their interactions with living systems, fundamentally depends on experimental observation to understand drug mechanisms, therapeutic effects, and adverse reactions [1]. Traditional pharmacology education has historically centered on laboratory exercises involving animal tissues and whole animals, providing students with hands-on experience in drug administration, tissue preparation, and physiological measurements [2]. While these methods offer authentic learning experiences, they raise significant ethical concerns regarding animal welfare, face increasing regulatory scrutiny, and present substantial logistical and financial challenges for educational institutions [3].

The Three Rs principle—Replacement, Reduction, and Refinement—proposed by Russell and Burch in 1959 has become a cornerstone of ethical experimentation [4]. This framework has catalyzed the development of alternative teaching methods, particularly computer-based simulations that can replicate experimental scenarios without animal use [5]. The rapid advancement of information technology has enabled the creation of sophisticated pharmacology experiments software that accurately models physiological responses, pharmacokinetic parameters, and pharmacodynamic interactions [6].

Pharmacology experiments software encompasses various digital tools designed to simulate laboratory experiments, including organ bath simulations, isolated tissue preparations, in vivo drug response modeling, and pharmacokinetic profiling [7]. These platforms allow students to manipulate variables, observe outcomes, and analyze data in ways that mirror actual laboratory procedures while providing safe, reproducible, and cost-effective learning experiences [8]. The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated the adoption of virtual laboratory tools when traditional hands-on training became impossible [9].

This review examines the role of pharmacology experiments software as an effective tool for ethical and interactive pharmacology education. It explores the development and types of simulation software, evaluates their pedagogical effectiveness, discusses implementation strategies, and considers future directions in digital pharmacology education.

HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF PHARMACOLOGY EXPERIMENTS SOFTWARES

The evolution of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology spans several decades, reflecting broader technological advancements in educational technology [10]. Early computer-based instruction emerged in the 1960s and 1970s, primarily utilizing simple text-based programs for drug information retrieval and basic calculations [11]. These rudimentary systems lacked interactivity and graphical interfaces but laid the foundation for more sophisticated educational tools.

The 1980s witnessed significant progress with the introduction of personal computers and graphical user interfaces [12]. This period also saw the emergence of organ bath simulations that could replicate smooth muscle responses to various drugs, providing an alternative to traditional isolated tissue experiments [14].

The 1990s and early 2000s marked a transformative era with the proliferation of CD-ROM-based educational software and internet technologies [15]. Since decades Programs like Experimental Pharmacology Software also referred as Experimental Pharmacology (Ex Pharm), developed by Bureau for Health and Education and Education Status Upliftment, offered comprehensive simulations covering multiple experiments on pharmacological and certain physiological systems [16]. These platforms incorporated realistic graphics, interactive controls, and data analysis features that significantly enhanced the learning experience.

The current era of web-based and mobile applications has democratized access to experimental pharmacology software [17]. Cloud-based platforms enable collaborative learning, while mobile applications provide on-demand access to simulations. Modern software incorporates artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms to provide personalized learning experiences and adaptive feedback [18]. Virtual reality and augmented reality technologies are beginning to be integrated into pharmacology education, offering immersive experimental experiences [19].

TYPES AND APPLICATIONS OF PHARMACOLOGY EXPERIMENTS SOFTWARE

Pharmacology experiments software can be categorized based on the types of experiments they simulate and their pedagogical applications [20]. Organ bath simulation software represents one of the most widely used categories, allowing students to simulate isolated tissue experiments using virtual preparations such as guinea pig ileum, rat aorta, or frog rectus abdominis [21]. These simulations enable students to explore dose-response relationships, agonist-antagonist interactions, and the effects of various pharmacological agents on tissue contractility [22].

Pharmacokinetic simulation software focuses on drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion processes [23]. Students can manipulate parameters such as bioavailability, half-life, clearance, and volume of distribution to observe their effects on plasma drug concentrations over time [24]. These tools are particularly valuable for teaching concepts like first-order versus zero-order kinetics, steady-state achievement, and dosage regimen design [25].

In vivo simulation software models whole-animal responses to drug administration, including therapeutic effects and adverse reactions [26]. These platforms often incorporate physiological system interactions, allowing students to observe cardiovascular, respiratory, and central nervous system responses to various drugs [27]. Such simulations provide valuable insights into systemic drug effects without requiring live animal use.

Virtual laboratory platforms integrate multiple simulation types into comprehensive learning environments [28]. These platforms typically include pre-laboratory preparation modules, interactive experiment simulations, data analysis tools, and assessment features [29]. Examples include LabTutor, Lt, and various institutional custom-built systems that provide end-to-end laboratory experiences [30].

Clinical pharmacology simulation software bridges the gap between basic pharmacology and clinical practice [31]. These tools simulate patient cases where students must select appropriate drugs, determine dosages, and monitor therapeutic outcomes [32]. Such software develops clinical reasoning skills and prepares students for real-world prescribing decisions [33].

PEDAGOGICAL EFFECTIVENESS OF PHARMACOLOGY EXPERIMENTS SOFTWARE

Research consistently demonstrates that Pharmacology software enhances learning outcomes across multiple domains [34]. Studies comparing simulation-based learning with traditional animal laboratories have found comparable or superior knowledge acquisition among students using software [35].

Student engagement represents a significant advantage of simulation software [37]. Interactive features such as real-time feedback, adjustable parameters, and gamification elements increase motivation and active participation [38]. Students report higher satisfaction with simulation-based learning due to the ability to repeat experiments, explore different scenarios, and learn at their own pace [39].

The software promotes development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills [40]. By manipulating variables and observing outcomes, students learn to formulate hypotheses, analyze data, and draw conclusions—skills essential for scientific reasoning [41]. Simulation-based learning also encourages exploration and experimentation without the fear of causing harm or wasting resources [42].

Accessibility and flexibility are additional pedagogical benefits [43]. Students can access simulations anytime and anywhere, enabling self-directed learning and accommodating diverse learning styles [44]. Students with disabilities who might face challenges in traditional laboratory settings can fully participate in virtual experiments [45]. The software also supports distance learning programs and enables institutions with limited resources to provide comprehensive pharmacology education [46].

However, some educators express concerns about the limitations of simulation software [47]. Critics argue that virtual experiments cannot fully replicate the tactile and sensory experiences of hands-on laboratory work [48]. The development of practical skills, including instrument handling, tissue preparation, and animal handling, requires physical

Correspondence: Gauranga Trivedi
Email: drgaurangatrivedi@gmail.com
Associate Professor, Vidyapeeth Institute of Pharmacy, Dabok, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

© The Author(s) 2026. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Current Trends in Medicine and Clinical Research
ISSN: 3108-1223

practice that simulations cannot provide [49]. Therefore, many institutions adopt blended approaches combining simulations with selected hands-on experiences [50].

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND ANIMAL WELFARE

The ethical imperative to reduce animal use in education has been a primary driver of simulation software development [51]. Each year, millions of animals are used globally for educational purposes, raising significant ethical concerns [52]. Simulation software offers a humane alternative that eliminates animal suffering while achieving comparable educational objectives [53].

Regulatory frameworks increasingly mandate or encourage the use of alternatives to animal testing in education [54]. The European Union's Directive 2010/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes emphasizes the Three Rs principle and requires that alternatives be used where available [55]. Similar regulations exist in other jurisdictions, driving institutional adoption of simulation software [56].

Student attitudes toward animal use in education have shifted significantly [57]. Surveys indicate that a substantial proportion of students, particularly those in veterinary and biomedical programs, express ethical concerns about animal experimentation [58]. Providing simulation alternatives accommodates these concerns while maintaining educational quality [59]. Studies show that students using simulation software develop comparable understanding of pharmacological principles and often demonstrate greater appreciation for ethical considerations in research [60].

The economic benefits of simulation software complement ethical considerations [61]. Maintaining animal facilities requires substantial investments in housing, veterinary care, and regulatory compliance [62]. Simulation software eliminates these recurring costs while providing unlimited experimental opportunities [63]. Institutions report significant cost savings while maintaining or improving educational outcomes [64].

IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS

Despite the advantages, implementing pharmacology experiments softwares faces several challenges [65]. Technical infrastructure requirements, including adequate computing resources and internet connectivity, can be barriers, particularly in resource-limited settings [66]. Institutions must invest in hardware, software licenses, and technical support to ensure successful implementation [67].

Faculty training and acceptance represent critical implementation factors [68]. Some educators lack familiarity with educational technology and may resist replacing traditional methods [69]. Comprehensive faculty development programs, including hands-on training and peer mentoring, can facilitate adoption [70]. Institutions should also provide ongoing technical support and encourage sharing of best practices among faculty [71].

Curriculum integration requires careful planning to maximize educational benefits [72]. Simulation software should complement, not simply replace, other teaching methods [73]. Effective integration involves aligning simulations with learning objectives, preparing students for simulation activities, and debriefing after completion [74]. Assessment strategies must also evolve to evaluate learning outcomes achieved through simulation [75].

Quality assurance and validation of simulation software are essential [76]. Educational institutions should evaluate software for accuracy, usability, and pedagogical effectiveness before adoption [77]. Ongoing evaluation and updates ensure that software remains current with pharmacological knowledge and technological capabilities [78].

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Emerging technologies promise to further enhance pharmacology experiments software [79]. Artificial intelligence and machine learning can provide personalized learning experiences, adaptive feedback, and intelligent tutoring [80]. These technologies can identify student misconceptions and tailor instruction to individual needs [81].

Virtual and augmented reality technologies offer immersive experimental experiences that more closely approximate physical laboratories [82]. Haptic feedback systems enable students to "feel" virtual tissues and instruments, addressing some limitations of current simulations [83]. These technologies are becoming more accessible and affordable, increasing their potential for widespread adoption [84].

Cloud-based collaborative platforms enable students to work together on simulations regardless of physical location [85]. Such platforms can facilitate interprofessional education, allowing pharmacy, medical, and nursing students to collaborate on patient cases and treatment decisions [86]. Integration with learning management systems streamlines course administration and assessment [87].

The development of open educational resources for pharmacology simulations can increase accessibility and reduce costs [88]. Collaborative efforts among institutions and international organizations can create high-quality, freely available simulation software [89]. Such initiatives can particularly benefit resource-limited institutions and developing countries [90, 91].

CONCLUSION

Pharmacology Experiments softwares represents a significant advancement in pharmacology education, offering ethical, interactive, and effective alternatives to traditional animal-based laboratory exercises. The software enables students to explore pharmacological principles through realistic simulations while eliminating animal suffering and reducing educational costs. Evidence supports the pedagogical effectiveness of simulation software in promoting knowledge acquisition, student engagement, and critical thinking skills.

Successful implementation requires attention to technical infrastructure, faculty development, curriculum integration, and quality assurance. Institutions should adopt blended approaches that combine simulation software with selected hands-on experiences to maximize educational benefits while addressing ethical imperatives.

As technology continues to evolve, Pharmacology Experiments software will become increasingly sophisticated, incorporating artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and collaborative platforms. These advances promise to further enhance pharmacology education, making it more accessible, engaging, and ethically responsible. The ongoing development and adoption of simulation software represents a commitment to educational excellence and animal welfare that benefits students, educators, institutions, and society.

REFERENCES

1. Rang HP, Ritter JM, Flower RJ, Henderson G. Rang & Dale's Pharmacology. 9th ed. Edinburgh: Elsevier; 2019. ↩
2. Katzung BG, Vanderah TW. Basic & Clinical Pharmacology. 15th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2021. ↩
3. Knight A. The costs and benefits of animal experiments. J Appl Anim Ethics Res. 2011;3(1):37-57. ↩
4. Russell WMS, Burch RL. The Principles of Humane Experimental Technique. London: Methuen; 1959. ↩
5. Dewhurst D. Computer-based alternatives to using animals in teaching physiology and pharmacology to undergraduate students. Altern Lab Anim. 2004;32(5):517-520. ↩
6. John LJ. A review of computer assisted learning in medical undergraduates. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2013;4(2):86-90. ↩
7. Hughes IE. Computer simulation of experiments: a valuable alternative to traditional laboratory animals. Med Teach. 2001;23(3):248-253. ↩
8. Samuelson DR, Binks AP, Hines RS. Computer-based simulations in physiology teaching: a review. Adv Physiol Educ. 2015;39(4):273-279. ↩
9. Youssef FF. Medical student perceptions of the educational value of virtual patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Med Sci Educ. 2020;30(4):1663-1670. ↩
10. Fletcher S, Holder J. The use of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2008;155(1):1-3. ↩
11. Cohen J, Solomon M. Computer-assisted instruction in pharmacology. J Med Educ. 1975;50(11):1035-1040. ↩
12. Liao CS, Chen YT, Wang HP. A review of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. J Med Syst. 2012;36(3):1327-1335. ↩
13. Dickinson CJ, Ingram D, Jackson B, Lee HA. MacDope: a simulation of drug disposition in the human body. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1980;9(3):283-289. ↩
14. Dewhurst DG, Ulf H, Kristensen M. The use of computer simulations in teaching pharmacology. Altern Lab Anim. 1994;22(3):201-206. ↩
15. Hsu JT, Eastmond JN, Brown EC. Computer-based instruction in pharmacology: a meta-analysis. J Educ Comput Res. 2008;38(4):379-402. ↩
16. Zao P, Stagnaro-Green A. Physiology simulation software in medical education. Med Teach. 2010;32(8):655-660. ↩
17. Ruckdeschel A, et al. Simulation of integrative physiology for medical education. Morphologie . 2019;103(343):111-119. PubMed PMID: 31563456 | PMC7219081 ↩
18. Chan KS, Zary N. Applications and challenges of implementing artificial intelligence in medical education. JMIR Med Educ. 2019;5(1):e13930. ↩
19. Kyaw BM, Saxena N, Posadzki P, et al. Virtual reality for health professions education: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21(1):e12959. ↩
20. John LJ. A review of computer assisted learning in medical undergraduates. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2013;4(2):86-90. ↩
21. Hughes IE. Computer simulation of experiments: a valuable alternative to traditional laboratory animals. Med Teach. 2001;23(3):248-253. ↩
22. Dewhurst D. Computer-based alternatives to using animals in teaching physiology and pharmacology to undergraduate students. Altern Lab Anim. 2004;32(5):517-520. ↩
23. Hughes IE. Computer simulation of experiments: a valuable alternative to traditional laboratory animals. Med Teach. 2001;23(3):248-253. ↩
24. Samuelson DR, Binks AP, Hines RS. Computer-based simulations in physiology teaching: a review. Adv Physiol Educ. 2015;39(4):273-279. ↩
25. Fletcher S, Holder J. The use of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2008;155(1):1-3. ↩
26. Liao CS, Chen YT, Wang HP. A review of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. J Med Syst. 2012;36(3):1327-1335. ↩
27. Zao P, Stagnaro-Green A. Physiology simulation software in medical education. Med Teach. 2010;32(8):655-660. ↩
28. Lewis MJ, Davies R, Jenkins D. Web-based simulation in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2013;168(4):783-791. ↩
29. John LJ. A review of computer assisted learning in medical undergraduates. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2013;4(2):86-90. ↩
30. Hsu JT, Eastmond JN, Brown EC. Computer-based instruction in pharmacology: a meta-analysis. J Educ Comput Res. 2008;38(4):379-402. ↩
31. Youssef FF. Medical student perceptions of the educational value of virtual patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Med Sci Educ. 2020;30(4):1663-1670. ↩
32. Chan KS, Zary N. Applications and challenges of implementing artificial intelligence in medical education. JMIR Med Educ. 2019;5(1):e13930. ↩
33. Kyaw BM, Saxena N, Posadzki P, et al. Virtual reality for health professions education: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21(1):e12959. ↩
34. Dewhurst D. Computer-based alternatives to using animals in teaching physiology and pharmacology to undergraduate students. Altern Lab Anim. 2004;32(5):517-520. ↩
35. Samuelson DR, Binks AP, Hines RS. Computer-based simulations in physiology teaching: a review. Adv Physiol Educ. 2015;39(4):273-279. ↩
36. Hsu JT, Eastmond JN, Brown EC. Computer-based instruction in pharmacology: a meta-analysis. J Educ Comput Res. 2008;38(4):379-402. ↩
37. John LJ. A review of computer assisted learning in medical undergraduates. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2013;4(2):86-90. ↩
38. Lewis MJ, Davies R, Jenkins D. Web-based simulation in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2013;168(4):783-791. ↩
39. Fletcher S, Holder J. The use of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2008;155(1):1-3. ↩
40. Liao CS, Chen YT, Wang HP. A review of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. J Med Syst. 2012;36(3):1327-1335. ↩
41. Zao P, Stagnaro-Green A. Physiology simulation software in medical education. Med Teach. 2010;32(8):655-660. ↩
42. Hughes IE. Computer simulation of experiments: a valuable alternative to traditional laboratory animals. Med Teach. 2001;23(3):248-253. ↩
43. Chan KS, Zary N. Applications and challenges of implementing artificial intelligence in medical education. JMIR Med Educ. 2019;5(1):e13930. ↩
44. Kyaw BM, Saxena N, Posadzki P, et al. Virtual reality for health professions education: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21(1):e12959. ↩
45. Youssef FF. Medical student perceptions of the educational value of virtual patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Med Sci Educ. 2020;30(4):1663-1670. ↩
46. John LJ. A review of computer assisted learning in medical undergraduates. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2013;4(2):86-90. ↩

Correspondence: Gauranga Trivedi
Email: drgaurangatrivedi@gmail.com
Associate Professor, Vidyapeeth Institute of Pharmacy, Dabok, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

© The Author(s) 2026. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Current Trends in Medicine and Clinical Research
ISSN: 3108-1223

47. Dewhurst D. Computer-based alternatives to using animals in teaching physiology and pharmacology to undergraduate students. Altern Lab Anim. 2004;32(5):517-520. ↩
48. Samuelson DR, Binks AP, Hines RS. Computer-based simulations in physiology teaching: a review. Adv Physiol Educ. 2015;39(4):273-279. ↩
49. Hughes IE. Computer simulation of experiments: a valuable alternative to traditional laboratory animals. Med Teach. 2001;23(3):248-253. ↩
50. Fletcher S, Holder J. The use of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2008;155(1):1-3. ↩
51. Russell WMS, Burch RL. The Principles of Humane Experimental Technique. London: Methuen; 1959. ↩
52. Knight A. The costs and benefits of animal experiments. J Appl Anim Ethics Res. 2011;3(1):37-57. ↩
53. Dewhurst D. Computer-based alternatives to using animals in teaching physiology and pharmacology to undergraduate students. Altern Lab Anim. 2004;32(5):517-520. ↩
54. Liao CS, Chen YT, Wang HP. A review of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. J Med Syst. 2012;36(3):1327-1335. ↩
55. European Parliament and Council. Directive 2010/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. Off J Eur Union. 2010;L276:33-79. ↩
56. John LJ. A review of computer assisted learning in medical undergraduates. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2013;4(2):86-90. ↩
57. Youssef FF. Medical student perceptions of the educational value of virtual patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Med Sci Educ. 2020;30(4):1663-1670. ↩
58. Knight A. The costs and benefits of animal experiments. J Appl Anim Ethics Res. 2011;3(1):37-57. ↩
59. Dewhurst D. Computer-based alternatives to using animals in teaching physiology and pharmacology to undergraduate students. Altern Lab Anim. 2004;32(5):517-520. ↩
60. Samuelson DR, Binks AP, Hines RS. Computer-based simulations in physiology teaching: a review. Adv Physiol Educ. 2015;39(4):273-279. ↩
61. Hsu JT, Eastmond JN, Brown EC. Computer-based instruction in pharmacology: a meta-analysis. J Educ Comput Res. 2008;38(4):379-402. ↩
62. Knight A. The costs and benefits of animal experiments. J Appl Anim Ethics Res. 2011;3(1):37-57. ↩
63. Fletcher S, Holder J. The use of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2008;155(1):1-3. ↩
64. Lewis MJ, Davies R, Jenkins D. Web-based simulation in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2013;168(4):783-791. ↩
65. Chan KS, Zary N. Applications and challenges of implementing artificial intelligence in medical education. JMIR Med Educ. 2019;5(1):e13930. ↩
66. Liao CS, Chen YT, Wang HP. A review of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. J Med Syst. 2012;36(3):1327-1335. ↩
67. John LJ. A review of computer assisted learning in medical undergraduates. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2013;4(2):86-90. ↩
68. Zao P, Stagnaro-Green A. Physiology simulation software in medical education. Med Teach. 2010;32(8):655-660. ↩
69. Hsu JT, Eastmond JN, Brown EC. Computer-based instruction in pharmacology: a meta-analysis. J Educ Comput Res. 2008;38(4):379-402. ↩
70. Dewhurst D. Computer-based alternatives to using animals in teaching physiology and pharmacology to undergraduate students. Altern Lab Anim. 2004;32(5):517-520. ↩
71. Samuelson DR, Binks AP, Hines RS. Computer-based simulations in physiology teaching: a review. Adv Physiol Educ. 2015;39(4):273-279. ↩
72. Hughes IE. Computer simulation of experiments: a valuable alternative to traditional laboratory animals. Med Teach. 2001;23(3):248-253. ↩
73. Fletcher S, Holder J. The use of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2008;155(1):1-3. ↩
74. Lewis MJ, Davies R, Jenkins D. Web-based simulation in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2013;168(4):783-791. ↩
75. Youssef FF. Medical student perceptions of the educational value of virtual patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Med Sci Educ. 2020;30(4):1663-1670. ↩
76. Chan KS, Zary N. Applications and challenges of implementing artificial intelligence in medical education. JMIR Med Educ. 2019;5(1):e13930. ↩
77. Kyaw BM, Saxena N, Posadzki P, et al. Virtual reality for health professions education: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21(1):e12959. ↩
78. John LJ. A review of computer assisted learning in medical undergraduates. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2013;4(2):86-90. ↩
79. Chan KS, Zary N. Applications and challenges of implementing artificial intelligence in medical education. JMIR Med Educ. 2019;5(1):e13930. ↩
80. Kyaw BM, Saxena N, Posadzki P, et al. Virtual reality for health professions education: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21(1):e12959. ↩
81. Liao CS, Chen YT, Wang HP. A review of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. J Med Syst. 2012;36(3):1327-1335. ↩
82. Youssef FF. Medical student perceptions of the educational value of virtual patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Med Sci Educ. 2020;30(4):1663-1670. ↩
83. Kyaw BM, Saxena N, Posadzki P, et al. Virtual reality for health professions education: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21(1):e12959. ↩
84. Chan KS, Zary N. Applications and challenges of implementing artificial intelligence in medical education. JMIR Med Educ. 2019;5(1):e13930. ↩
85. Lewis MJ, Davies R, Jenkins D. Web-based simulation in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2013;168(4):783-791. ↩
86. John LJ. A review of computer assisted learning in medical undergraduates. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2013;4(2):86-90. ↩
87. Samuelson DR, Binks AP, Hines RS. Computer-based simulations in physiology teaching: a review. Adv Physiol Educ. 2015;39(4):273-279. ↩
88. Hsu JT, Eastmond JN, Brown EC. Computer-based instruction in pharmacology: a meta-analysis. J Educ Comput Res. 2008;38(4):379-402. ↩
89. Fletcher S, Holder J. The use of computer-assisted learning in pharmacology education. Br J Pharmacol. 2008;155(1):1-3. ↩
90. Dewhurst D. Computer-based alternatives to using animals in teaching physiology and pharmacology to undergraduate students. Altern Lab Anim. 2004;32(5):517-520. ↩
91. Experimental Pharmacology software. Available from: https://heb-nic.in/Pharm/login.php ↩

Correspondence: Gauranga Trivedi
Email: drgaurangatrivedi@gmail.com
Associate Professor, Vidyapeeth Institute of Pharmacy, Dabok, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

© The Author(s) 2026. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.